Anangana Sutta

The Discourse on the Blemish-free | M 5/1:24-32 Theme: A monastic's right livelihood Translated by Piya Tan ©2007, 2011

1 Sutta summary and comments

1.1 SUTTA SUMMARY AND HIGHLIGHTS

1.1.1 The 4 kinds of persons. The Anangana Sutta (M 5)¹ is a discourse by Sāriputta, the Buddha's right hand monk, declared to be the foremost of the monks with "great wisdom" $(mah\bar{a}, pa\tilde{n}n\bar{a})$. He opens the teaching by mentioning the four kinds of persons [§2] with regards to "blemish" (angana), that is, a fault [1.2], thus:

(1) one who has a blemish but does not truly know it,

(2) one who has a blemish but truly knows it,

(3) one who has no blemish but does not truly know it,

(4) one who has no blemish but truly knows it. [§2a]

Of the first pair of persons, the one who has a blemish but truly knows it is the superior (settha) person, that is, the better person. Of the last pair, too, the one who does not have a blemish but truly knows it is the superior. The answer is obvious: the second person in both pairs have self-knowledge.

1.1.2 Self-knowledge. However, Moggallāna, the Buddha's left hand disciple, immediately questions Sāriputta as to the reason for his statement. Sāriputta answers using the parables of the bowls, explaining that those who lack self-knowledge are unlikely to do anything about their blemish, while those with self-knowledge are more likely to put in effort to better themselves. Hence, the former would die "with a defiled mind, with greed, with hate, with delusion," while the latter would die without a defiled mind. [§§4-6].

1.1.3 "The spheres of evil unwholesome wishes." Again, when asked by Moggallāna, Sāriputta defines "blemish" (angana) as "the spheres of evil unwholesome wishes" (akusala icchā 'yacara) [§9], exemplified as "anger and upset" (kopa appaccaya) (MA 1:143), as mentioned in the refrain [§10 etc]. The term akusala icchā'vacara is found only here in the Anangana Sutta, but it is more common in the Commentaries. Such a usage, as well as the subject matter, suggests the lateness of the Sutta, that it was composed clearly for new monks yet to attain sainthood or those in training.

1.1.4 The 19 cases. Sāriputta then gives a total of 19 examples of false recluses who show "anger and upset," that is,

§§10-12 (1-3)through committing offences, §§13-16 (4-7)through desire to be treated as the foremost, (8-11)through desire for honour through teaching, §§17-20 §§21-24 (12-15)through desire for honour, §§25-28 (16-19)through desire for fine material support,

Sariputta then warns that even if a monastic were to take up any of the strict ascetic practices, if he still were to have the "blemish" of anger and upset, he would not be respected by his fellow practitioners. Such a false recluse is like a clean bowl containing a carcass [§29].

http://dharmafarer.org

79

¹ M 4/1:24-32 = SD 37.7. Referred to at MA 2:246; Vism 377. **Anangana Vatthu** mentioned at VA 1:158 prob refers to the same sutta.

A 1.14.1/1:23.

³ Sāriputta and Moggallāna are traditionally regarded as being the 2 chief disciples (agga,sāvaka) of the Buddha. However, this fact is not mentioned in the early strata of the suttas, but found only in the later texts (Mahā'padāna S, D 14.1.9/2:5; B 26.18/98; Ap 1.114/22, 1.121/23, 23.6/74, 51.17/1:102; V 2:199; Miln 193) and mostly in comys (DA 1:173, 248, 3:875, 878; MA 1:153, 2:200, 3:135; SA 1:216 f; SA 1:217, 3:208; AA 1:140, 150-154, 4:171; DhA 1:96 f, 106, 110, 2:111, 3:236; ThaA 3:93; ThīA 3; ApA 90, 238, 209, 229; VvA 2; VA 5:976; CA 4; J 1:85). ⁴ Eg Nett 27; MA 1:139, 148-150 (all M 5 comy); BA 175; PmA 1:232; NmA 2:358; VA 1:158.

1.1.5 Not strict ascetics but true recluses. The true recluse, on the other hand, even if he does not take up the ascetic practices, but lives with the benefits of a settled monastic life, such as living outside the village, not a forest monk), accepting invitation meals and robes donated by the laity (basically, not living as a forest monk), he would still be respected by his peers [§30]. All this clearly suggests that the sutta addresses a more settled monastic community and its problems [2.3].

The list of strict ascetic practices here [§29a] here is interesting. At first blush, like other translators, I thought that there are quite straight forward, and could be rendered as "a forest dweller, a frequenter of remote abodes, an almsfood eater, a house-to-house seeker, a refuse-rag wearer, a wearer of rough robes" (M:NB) as Nāṇamoli and Bodhi have done (and similarly too I B Horner, too, in M:H). However, when I came to §30c where only the three practices allowed by the Buddha are mentioned, I realize that this Sutta must have some connection with the story of Devadatta's attempt to introduce the "five points" (V 2:197), and it makes good sense to translate the list of strict ascetic practices to reflect this fact. [3.3]

- <u>1.1.6 Moggallāna's parable.</u> Moggallāna then proposes his own parable, one based on his own experience in Rājagaha of observing how an ajivijka, Paṇḍu,putta, an erstwhile cartwright, watching a cartwright, Samīti, working, so that the former thinks that the latter knows his heart, since Samīti does exactly what Paṇḍu,putta merely thinks [§31b]. Although it is possible that here Paṇḍu,putta might think that he has the power of controlling Samīti's mind, the truth is that Moggallāna is simply using this event as a figure to show how Sāriputta has spoken his (Moggallāna's) own mind, as evident from Moggallāna's jubilant remarks.
- 1.1.7 Moggallāna's teaching. Moggallāna, supporting Sāriputta's teaching, adds that those who have renounced the world for selfish gains or out of personal weakness are full of blemishes. In other words, while Sāriputta lists only two, Moggallāna gives a comprehensive list of them [2.1], along with the wholesome qualities of a true renunciant. He praises Sāriputta for teaching by way of speaking Moggallāna's own mind, as it were [§32]. He compares Sāriputta's teaching to a feast of words and thoughts that lifts people out of unwholesomeness, putting them on a wholesome course. Moggallāna compares the monastics to well-dressed youths and Sāriputta's teaching to a flower garland crowning their heads [§33].
- **1.2** ANGANA. This is the Sutta's key term, translated here as "blemish," where it is defined as anger (kopa) and upset (appaccaya), and which is applied to a total of 19 examples [§§10-28]. Angana mean "dirt" in both the literal and figurative sense.⁵

In **the Vibhaṅga**, "blemish" (*aṅgaṇa*) is one of the names given to the three unwholesome roots, namely: "The blemish that is lust, the blemish that is hate, the blemish that is delusion. These are the three blemishes" (*rāgo aṅgaṇaṁ doso aṅgaṇaṁ moho aṅgaṇaṁ, imāni tīṇi malāni*, Vbh 368). ⁶ **The Sammoha,vinodanī**, the Vibhaṅga Commentary, gives a range of meanings of *aṅgaṇa*, as follows:

- (1) in the phrase, *ud'aṅgane tattha papaṁ avindun ti*, "there they found water in open ground," *aṅga-ṇa* means "a piece of ground" (*bhūmi-p,padesa*) (J 1:109,20);
- (2) in the phrase, *tass'eva rajassa vā aṅgaṇassa vā pahānāya vāyamatî ti*, "he perseveres to abandon that very stain or dirt," it is a kind of dirt (*mala*) or mud (*paṅka*);
- (3) in the phrase, *sāngaṇo'va samāno'ti*, "having a blemish," it is a variety of strong defilements (*nāna-p,pakāro tibba,kileso*). (VbhA 498; see also MA 1:139 f)

Elsewhere, aigana includes the sense of a "birth-mark or pimple" or, a "black mole as a birth-mark." ¹⁰

⁵ Prob der from √AÑJ, to anoint: see Pischel, *A Grammar of the Prakrit Language*, §234. M 1:24,18 f; A 5:92,16, 94,22, 97,21, 103,25; Vbh 368,7. It appears in cpds as *niraṅgaṇa* (BA 1*), *sâṅgaṇa* (M 1:24,18; Sn 279), and of course *anaṅgaṇa* (D 1:76,14; Dh 125; Sn 517; J 5:302,3*; B 8.10; V 3:14,18).

⁶ Other names given by Vbh to the 3 unwholesome roots are "something" (*kiñcana*), "stain" (*mala*), "unevenness" (*visama*), "disharmony" (*do*), "fire" (*aggi*), "astringent" (*kasāva*) (Vbh 368). Qu at MA 1:139 = BA 175. Cf SnA 2:427.

 $^{^{7}}$ M 1:100,16+17+18 = A 10.51/5:92,17.

⁸ M 1:24-26 ×8.

1.3 SUTTA STYLE. The Anangana Sutta is basically a dialogue between the two chief disciples, the arhats Sāriputta and Moggallāna. It might, at first, appear rather curious that Moggallāna, as an arhat, is asking the questions on the four kinds of people, which he should have known about anyway. However, the duo are speaking in dialogue before an assembly of monks.

In other words, the two of them are "rehearsing" the Dharma for the benefit of the congregation. While Sāriputta is acting as the clarifier (*vissajjaka*), Moggallāna is the questioner (*pucchaka*). This is traditionally known as the "rehearsal" (*saṅgāyaṇā*) or catechical method of teaching. ¹¹ Apparently, **the** Anaṇgaṇa Sutta (M 5) is the only record we of a catechical teaching by the two great elders before an assembly. However, we have many such dialogues between Sāriputta and Mahā Koṭṭhita, who is declared to be the foremost of monks who are masters of the analytic skills (*patisambhida-p,patta*) (A 1:24). ¹²

Instructive dialogues between Sāriputta and Moggallāna, reflecting their close spiritual friendship are found in such discourses as:

Ghața Sutta	S 21.3/2:275	Spiritual friendship of the two great elders,
Sāriputta Moggallāna Sutta 1	A 4.167/2:154 f	On Moggallāna's mode of progress,
Sāriputta Moggallāna Sutta 2	A 4.168/2:155	On Sāriputta's mode of progress,
Juṇhā Sutta	U 4.4/39-41	They praise one another's special qualities.

The Sacca Vibhaṅga Sutta (M 141) is a record of Sāriputta's detailed analysis (*vibhaṅga*) of the four noble truths on the Buddha's instruction. Although Moggallāna does not appear in the Sutta itself, the Buddha, early in the Sutta, encourages the monastics to cultivate the spiritual friendship of the two great elders, comparing, in spiritual cultivation, Sariputta to a mother who brings forth a child (training monks to attain streamwinning), while Moggallāna to a nurse (who unrelentingly trains the monks right to the attainment of arhathood). ¹³

A conversation between the two monks, and other great elders, is recorded in **the Mahā Gosiṅga Sutta** (M 32).¹⁴ We see them both being admonished by the Buddha in **the Cātumā Sutta**.¹⁵

2 Qualities of renunciants

2.1 FALSE RENUNCIANTS' QUALITIES. Only two "blemishes" (angana) are identified in the Anangana Sutta, that is, anger (kopa) and upset (appaccaya)¹⁶ [1.2]. These are the qualities of those monastics who have the wrong attitude towards their life of renunciation. Amplifying on this, as it were, Moggallāna give a more definitive description of a false renunciant [2.3.4], as follows:

(A) ¹⁷...there are people who are without faith, those who have left home for the homeless life, not out of faith, but for the sake of a living, who are false, crafty, fraudulent,

⁹ Jātakaṁ tilakaṁ vā piḷakaṁ vā (MA 2:67,9).

¹⁰ Jātaka,anga,kāļa,tilak'ādim (AA 5:41).

¹¹ DhsA 2 f; Miln 295. This is a popular didactic method on the radio in its heyday,

¹² See (Samyojana) Kotthita S (S 35.232/4:162-165) & SD 28.4 (1.2); also MA 2:336; AA 1:2851.

 $^{^{13}}$ M 141/3:248-257 = SD 11.11.

 $^{^{14}}$ M 32.8-9/1:214 f, 218 = SD 44.12.

 $^{^{15}}$ M 67/1:456-462 = SD 34.7.

¹⁶ Cf "anger and despair" (kodh' $up\bar{a}y\bar{a}sa$), figured as the "fear of waves" ($\bar{u}mi$, bhaya) for new monks regarding training in personal decorum, fearing which they might return to lay life: see **Cātumā S** (M 67.16/1:460) = SD 34.7.

¹⁷ Ye te puggalā assaddhā, jīvik'atthā na saddhā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, saṭhā māyāvino ketabhino [Ee; Be ketabino; Ce Se keṭubhino] uddhatā unnaļā capalā mukharā vikinna, vācā indriyesu agutta, dvārā, bhojane amattañnuno, jāgariyam ananuyuttā, sāmañne anapekkhavanto, sikkhāya na tibba, gāravā, bāhulikā, sāthalikā, okkamane pubbangamā, paviveke nikkhitta, dhurā, kusītā hīna, vīriyā muṭṭha-s, satī asampajānā asamāhitā vibbhanta, cittā duppañnā eļa, mūgā: M 5.32a/1:32,16, 107.15/3:6,19; A 5.167.11+12/3:198 f. Cf M 69/1:469-473; S 4.2/4:104; A 6.17/3:300 f; Pug 4, 20 f. For positive versions of this list see, eg D 33.1.9(20)/3:213); M 53.5-18/1:354-356; A

C-----

- (B) haughty, ¹⁸ insolent, fickle, garrulous, of loose speech, ¹⁹
- (C) the sense-doors unguarded, lacking moderation in food, not devoted to wakefulness, with no regard for recluseship, with no deep respect for the training, living in abundance, lax, prone to distractions, ²⁰ neglecting the task of seclusion, lazy, lacking effort, confused, lacking full awareness, unconcentrated, a wandering mind, lacking wisdom, unintelligent. [§32a]

This whole passage [§32a] is stock, which means that it often appears, in identical or very similar form, elsewhere in the suttas. Section (A) is explained in the Sutta's commentary (MA 1:152). Sections (B+C) are found, wholly or in part, in the following suttas, and all, if not many, of the terms explained in their respective commentaries:

	<u>1 exts</u>	Commentaries
Dhamma,dāyāda Sutta	\overline{M} 3/1:12-16	MA 1:101,
Bhaya Bherava Sutta	M 4.4-19/1:17-20	MA 1:116-118,
Gulissāni Sutta	M 69.7-16/1:470-472	MA 3:184 (very brief),
Jantu Sutta	$S 2.25/1:61^{21}$	SA 1:115 f,
Pākat'indriya Sutta	S 9.13/1:203	SA 1:297 refers to S 2.25,
(Pasāda Kampana) Moggallāna Sutta	S 51.14/5:269 ²²	SA 3:257.

These commentaries (and others) explain the related terms in some detail, paraphrased here, as follows:

uddhatā	haughty, of restless temperament on account of perceiving what is unallowable and blameworthy as allowable and blameless, and vice versa (according to the Vinaya). ²³
unnaļā	insolent, puffed up, full of conceit like a stiff hollow reed. ²⁴
capalā	vain, on account of decorating one's robes, bowl, lodging, etc. The Vibhanga explains "vanity" as the decorating of one's robes, bowl, lodging; decorating, beautifying, taking pride in, adorning, fondness, being fond of, acting in vanity, vanity towards this putrid body and for external requisites: this is called vanity. ²⁵

2.15.7/1:94, **5.150**.4/3:173, **7.26**.4/4:25, **8.79**.2/4:331; Nm 1:144, 2:480; Dhs 7, 231 f; Vbh 249; Pug 25; Kvu 616. Cf A 6.31.4/3:330.

¹⁸ From this ($uddhat\bar{a}$) to the end (see foll n), as at **Jantu S** (S 2.25/1:61,4), but replaces the last quality with $p\bar{a}ka$ -t'indriy \bar{a} , "loose in faculties."

¹⁹ Evam eva kho, āvuso, ye te puggalā assaddhā, jīvik'atthā na saddhā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, saṭhā māyāvino ketabhino uddhatā unnaļā capalā mukharā vikiṇṇa,vācā: **M 5**.32a/1:32, **107**.15/3:6; **A 5.167.**11+12/3:198 f: all these refs link up with the foll para (see n below).

 $^{^{20}}$ Okkamane pubbangamā, ie "letting transgressions be the leader." Comy explains these as the conditions for "going down," ie going astray or transgressing, ie, the 5 mental hindrances (MA 1:101.26, 3:108,3). See **M** 1:14, 16 f = 3:5,15 = **A** 1:71,3 = 2:148,30 = 3:108,3; **M** 1:32,21; **A** 1:243,3 f. M:NB, foll M:H, has "leaders in backsliding," which fails to reflect Comy which is helpful here. See CPD: 2 0-kkamana.

²¹ **S 2.25**/1:61,4 f = 1:203,34 = **S 51.14**/5:270,1.

²² **S 2.25**/1:61,4 f = 1:203,34 = S 51.14/5:270,1.

²³ *Uddhatā*'ti akappiye kappiya,saññitāya ca kappiye akappiya,saññitāya ca anavajje sāvajja,saññitāya ca sāvajje anavajja,saññitāya ca uddhaccapakatikā hutvā (SA 1:115 = 3:257). Uddhata (ts), pp ud (up, upwards, expressing intensity) + √HAN (to smite), from which comes uddhacca (restlessness): see *Uddhacca,kukkucca* = SD 32.7 (2.1). See CPD sv; DPL sv uddhata¹. Kaccv 640, Sadd 863,29 wrong deriv fr ud-dhū, Sadd 864,1 correct: uddhatassa bhāvo ~am.

²⁴ Unnaļā'ti uggata,naļā, uṭṭhita,tucchamānâ ti vuttaṁ hoti (MA 1:152 = SA 1:115 = 3:257)

²⁵ Capalā'ti patta,cīvara,maṇḍan'ādinā cāpallena yuttā (S 5:269,26 ≠ A 1:70,7; MA 1:152 = SA 1:115 = 3:257). Tattha katamam cāpalyam? Cīvara,maṇḍanā patta,maṇḍanā senāsana,maṇḍanā imassa vā pūti,kāyassa bāhirānam vā parikkhārānam maṇḍanā vibhūsanā keļanā parikeļanā giddhikatā giddhikattam capalatā cāpalyam: idam vuccati cāpalyam (Vbh 351,28). Capalatâ ti alankāra,karanam (Nm 2:413).

mukharā

<u>garrulous</u>, literally, "sharp-tongued" ($mukha,khar\bar{a}$), that is, rough speech and sharp words. ²⁶

vikinna,vācā

of loose speech, or rambling in talk, that is, verbally unrestrained, chattering aimlessly all day.²⁷

indriyesu agutta,dvārā, the sense-doors unguarded, that is, the six sense-doors (eye, ear, nose, tongue, body and mind) unrestrained; the sense-doors, with the mind as the sixth, are "shut." 28

bhojane amattaññuno jāgariyam ananuyuttā "shut." "shut.

sāmaññe anapekkhavanto cerning food, instead one is caught up in its quest, obtaining and relishing it.²⁹ not devoted to wakefulness. "Devotion to watchfulness," on the other hand, means having divided a whole night and day into 6 parts, one spend 5 of them in a waking state, meaning that one is devoted to watchfulness.³⁰ The suggestion here is that one sleeps for only 4 hours.

the Dharn

with no deep

avā training, but

with no regard for recluseship, meaning that one pays no attention to spiritual practice and duties (*samaṇa,dhamma*), that is, one foresakes the practice of the Dharma in accordance to the Dharma.³¹

sikkhāya na tibba,gāravā bāhulikā sāthalikā okkamane pubbaṅ,gamā with no deep respect for the training, meaning that there is not much respect for training, but one commits numerous lapses and offences.³² living in abundance, that is, one lives luxuriously with numerous robes, etc.³³

<u>lax</u>, that is, taking the teaching lightly.³⁴
<u>prone to distractions</u>: here, "distraction" (*okkamana*) is understood as having the sense of the 5 mental hindrances, meaning that they lead one astray, mental-

paviveke nikkhitta,dhurā neglecting the task of seclusion. Here, "seclusion" means the nirvana that is the solitude from acquisitions (*upadhi*, *viveka*). 36 "Neglecting the task" means not working on attaining that realization. This means that as far that the "solitude from acquisitions" is concerned, one does not fulfill it. One has aside the task of the 3 kinds of solitude (*viveka*), 37 which are the solitudes of the body (*kāya*, *viveka*), the mind (*citta*, *viveka*), and acquisitions (*upadhi*, *viveka*). 38

²⁶ **Mukharā**'ti mukha,kharā, khara,vacanâ ti vuttaṁ hoti (MA 1:152 = SA 1:115 = 3:257).

²⁷ Vikinnavācā'ti asamyata,vacanā, divasam pi niratthaka,vacana,palāpino (MA 1:152 = SA 1:115 = 3:257).

²⁸ Indriyesu agutta,dvārâ ti chasu indriyesu asamvuta,kamma,dvārā (MA 1:152). Indriyesu gutta,dvāro'ti manac,chatthesu indriyesu pihita,dvāro (AA 2:184).

²⁹ **Bhojane amattaññuno**ti bhojane yā mattā jānitabbā pariyesana,paṭiggahaṇa,paribhogesu yuttatā, tassā ajānanakā (MA 1:152)

³⁰ **Jāgariyam ananuyuttā**ti jāgare ananuyuttā. (MA 1:152). **Jāgariyam anuyutto**'ti rattin,divam cha koṭṭhāse katvā pañcasu koṭṭhāsesu jāgaraṇa,bhāvam anuyutto, jāgaraṇe yeva yutta-p,payuttoti attho (AA 2:185).

³¹ **Sāmaññe anapekkhavanto**ti samaṇa,dhamme nirapekkhā, dhammânudhamma-p,paṭipatti,rahit^ti attho (MA 1:152).

³² **Sikkhāya na tibba,gārayā**ti sikkhāpadesu bahula,gārayā na honti, āpatti,yītikkama,bahulā yā (MA 1:152)

³³ **Bāhulikâ** ti cīvar'ādi,bāhullāya paṭipannā (MA 1:101 = AA 2:144). Comy here refers us to comy on **Dhamma Dāyāda S** (M 3): **Bāhulikâ**ti,ādi dhamma,dāyāde vuttaṁ (MA 1:152)

³⁴ Sāsanam sithilam gaṇhantî ti **sāthalikā** (MA 1:101 = AA 2:144).

³⁵ **Okkamane pubbaṅgamâ** ti ettha okkamanaṁ vuccanti avagaman'aṭṭhena pañca nīvaraṇāni, tena pañca,nīvaraṇa,pubbaṅ,gamâ ti vuttaṁ hoti (MA 1:101 = AA 2:144).

³⁶ "Acquisitions," *upadhi*, substrates of existence, essentials of being, worldly possessions as a source of rebirth. Comy mentions 4 kinds of acquisitions: the aggregates (*khandh'upadhi*), defilements (*kiles'upadhi*), volitional formations (*abhisankhār'upadhi*), and the cords of sense-pleasure (*kāma,gun'upadhi*) (MA 2:112, 3:169, 5:60). It is also possible to take this as the "nirvana with remains" (*sôpadhisesa nibbāna*) (A 9.12.4/4:379; It 2.2.7/38 f; ItA 165), ie, full awakening here and now.

³⁷ **Nikkhitta,dhurâ** ti oropita,dhurā, tad adhigamāya ārambham pi akurumānâ ti, ettāvatā upadhi,vivekam na paripūrentî ti vuttam hoti (MA 1:101). **Nikkhitta,dhurâ** ti ti,vidhe'pi viveke oropita,dhurā (AA 2:144).

kusītā hīna,vīriyā

<u>lazy</u>, that is, tendency to idleness, including laziness is going on almsround. ³⁹ <u>lacking effort</u>, that is, low in exertion, bereft of energy, without strength. Here "laziness" refers to bodily sloth, while "lacking effort" refers to the lack of initiating mental effort. They are not to work on focussing on the meditation-object itself. All this inability to focus on the mental objects thus keeps them only in the preliminary stage. ⁴⁰ One does not exert oneself in both the ways of effort, that is, by way of the body nor of the mind. Here, "lacking effort" refers especially to the lack of mental effort in monastic and spiritual training. ⁴¹

muttha-s,satī

<u>confused</u>, "muddle-headed," that is, bereft of mindfulness, without mindfulness; forgetting what has been done right here. 42

asampajānā

without full awareness, that is, bereft of wisdom, which is opposite to when one says, "Established in mindfulness am I" in terms of just that mindfulness. Yet here it should be explained that wisdom is still weak in the mindfulness. For there are two kinds of mindfulness wisdom, namely, mindfulness associated with wisdom (sati paññā,sampayuttā) and mindfulness dissociated from wisdom (sati paññā,vippayuttā). Here, the former is strong, the latter is weak. As such, they may have mindfulness, they are not fully aware. Indeed, they are muddle-headed, the weakness being on account of not working on mindfulness with mindfulness. Thus the meaning of "without full awareness" should be shown. All this muddle-headedness and lack of full awareness thus prevents one from fixing the meditation-object, keeping it all ever in the preliminary stage. In short, one lacks wisdom.

asamāhitā

<u>unconcentrated</u>, lacking concentration, meaning, without access and full concentrations, like a ship caught in fierce currents. One is unable to attain one-pointedness of mind.⁴⁴

vibbhanta,cittā

<u>a wandering mind</u>, that is, of bewildered mind. Lacking concentration, one gives an opening to restlessness. His lack of concentration reels his mind around the various mental objects like a forest monkey springing restlessly from one branch to another. As we have previously seen, all this lack of concentration

³⁸ UA 231; Nm 26, 140, 157, 341. Cf MA 1:85, where the 5 kinds are identical with the 5 kinds of liberation (*vimutti, pahāna* or *nirodha*) (UA 32; Pm 27, 220 f; Vism 13.13/410, cf 4.82/140, 22.109-129/693-697): see also Pm 2:219-224 (items 1 and 2 reversed). The fivefold list is prob an abridgement of Nm 26 f. On the 3 kinds of solitude (*viveka*), see *Viveka,nissita* = SD 20.4 (4.2). On the 5 kinds of liberation (ie through suppression, displacement, cutting off, stilling and escape), see *Viveka,nissita* = SD 20.4 (4.3) & SD 13.1 (4.2c) on *vineyya*.

³⁹ "On kusita etc, see comy on Bhaya Bherava S (M 4)" (**kusītâ**ti,ādi bhaya,bherave, MA 1:152). Kusītā'ti kosaj-jânugatā (MA 1:117). Bhikkhā,cariyāsu'pi kosajja,vogato **kusītā** (ThaA 3:87).

⁴⁰ **Hīna,vīriyâ** ti hīnā vīriyena virahitā viyuttā, nibbīriyâ ti vuttam hoti. Tattha kusītā kāyika,vīriy'ārambha,virahitā honti, hīna,viriyā cetasika,vīriy'ārambha,virahitā. Te ārammaṇa,vavatthāna,mattam pi kātum na sakkonti. Tesam avavatthit'ārammanānan ti sabbam pubba,sadisam eva (MA 1:117).

⁴¹ Na vīriyam ārabhantī ti duvidham pi vīriyam na karonti (AA 2:144). **Duvidham pi vīriyan** ti kāyikam cetasikañ ca vīriyam (AAT:Be 2:41). Samaṇa, dhammam kātum cittassa ussāhâbhāvena hīna, viriyā (ThaA 3:87).

⁴² **Muṭṭha-s,satino 'ti** naṭṭha-s,satino sati,virahitā (MA 1:117 = SA 1:116), idha katam ettha pamussanti (SA 1:115 = 3:257).

⁴³ **Asampajānâ** ti paññā,rahitā, imassa ca paṭipakkhe "upaṭṭhita-s,satîham asmî ti vacanato sati,bhājaniyam ev'-etam. Paññā pan'ettha sati,dubbalya,dīpan'attham vuttā. Duvidhā hi sati paññā,sampayuttā paññā,vippayuttā ca. Tattha paññā,sampayuttā balavatī, vippayuttā dubbalā, tasmā yadā'pi tesam sati hoti, tadā'pi asampajānantā muṭṭha-s,satī yeva te, dubbalāya satiyā sati,kiccâbhāvato'ti etam attham dīpetum "asampajānâ ti vuttam. Te evam muṭṭha-s,satī asampajānā ārammaṇa,vavatthāna,mattam pi kātum na sakkontî ti sabbam pubba,sadisam eva (MA 1:117). **Asampajānâ** ti nippaññā (SA 1:115 = 3:257)

⁴⁴ **Asamāhitâ** ti upacār'appanā,samādhi,virahitā (MA 1:117). **Asamāhitā**'ti appanā,upacāra,samādhi,rahitā, caṇḍa,sote baddha,nāvā,sadisā (SA 1:115 = 3:257). **Asamāhitâ** ti citt'ekaggatā,mattassâpi alābhino (AA 2:144).

thus prevents one from fixing the meditation-object, keeping it all ever in the preliminary stage. The unsettled mind is like a foolish grown deer on a path. 45

duppaññā

lacking wisdom, which means "without wisdom," but wisdom has no bad name. 46

ela,mūgā

unintelligent, meaning ela,mukha, "saliva-mouth": the ga becomes kha; meaning a driveller (lāla,mukha). For, the foolish speak with salvia trickling from their mouths. Ela and lāla mean "saliva." Thus it is said: "Look at the saliva-mouthed double-tongued snake!" (J 3:347). Thus, they are called drivellers. 47

loose in faculty, meaning, lacking in restraint, (of monastics) of "open" faculties due to non-restraint like when they were still laymen. One's faculties are unrestrained. By nature, one remains overwhelmed by faculties that are unguarded, uncovered 48

2.2 TRUE PURPOSE OF RENUNCIATION. After stating the characteristics of a false recluse, Moggallāna goes on to speak on the true recluse. He is someone who, despite not taking up strict ascetic practices [§29a], does *not* have any blemish, so that he is respected by his peers [§32c]. The true recluse is defined by Moggallāna as follows:

- (A) ...there are sons of family who have left home for the homeless life out of faith, who are not false, not crafty, not fraudulent,
 - (B) not haughty, not insolent, not fickle, not garrulous, not loose in speech, with sense-doors restrained, moderate in food,
- (C) devoted to wakefulness, intent on recluseship, has deep respect for the training, not living in abundance, not lax, bent on removing distractions, giving priority to seclusion, exerting effort, resolute, of stable mindfulness, fully aware, concentrated, one-pointed in mind,

(D) wise, not unintelligent.⁴⁹ [§32c]

Section (A) basically refers to the "wise faith" (*avecca-p,pasāda*) of the renunciant, who renounces the world, as reflected in this passage from **the Naļaka,pāna Sutta** (M 68):

And indeed, Anuruddhā,⁵⁰
you have gone forth from home into homelessness not because of you have gone forth from home into homelessness not because of being forced by robbers;⁵²

⁴⁵ **Vibbhanta,cittâ** ti ubbhanta,cittā. Samādhi,virahena laddh'okāsena uddhaccena tesam samādhi,virahānam cittam nānârammaṇesu paribbhamati, vana,makkaṭo viya vana,sākhāsu uddhaccena ek'ārammaṇe vipphandati. Pubbe vutta,nayenena te evam asamāhitā vibbhanta,cittā ārammaṇa,vavatthāna,mattam pi kātum na sakkontî ti sabbam pubba,sadisam eva (MA 1:117). **Vibbhanta,cittā**'ti anavaṭṭhita,cittā, panthā,ruḷha,bāla,miga,sadisā. (SA 1:116).

⁴⁶ **Duppaññâ** ti nippaññānam etam adhivacanam. Paññā pana duṭṭhā nāma n'atthi (MA 1:117).

⁴⁷ **Eļa,mūgâ** ti ela,mukhā, kha,kārassa ga,kāro kato. Lāla,mukhâ ti vuttam hoti. Duppaññānañ hi kathentānam lālā mukhato galati, lālā ca elâ ti vuccati. Yathâha "pass'elamūgam uragam dujjivhan ti. Tasmā te "eļamūgâ ti vuccati. "Ela,mukhâ ti'pi pāṭho (MA 1:117).

⁴⁸ **Pākat'indriyā**'ti samvarâbhāvena gihi,kāle viya vivaṭa,indriyā (SA 1:115). **Pākat'indriyā**'ti asamvut'indriyā (SA 3:257). **Pākat'indriyā**'ti pakatiyā ṭhitehi vivaṭehi arakkhitehi indriyehi samannāgatā. (AA 2:144).

⁴⁹ Indriyesu guttadvārā, bhojane mattaññuno, jāgariyam anuyuttā, sāmaññe apekkhavanto, sikkhāya tibba,gāravā, na bāhulikā na sāthalikā, okkamane nikkhitta,dhurā, paviveke pubbangamā, āraddha,vīriyā, pahit'attā upaṭṭhita-s,satī sampajānā samāhitā ek'agga,cittā paññavanto aneļa,mūgā. For nn & refs, see §32a nn ad loc.

⁵⁰ The rest of this section is stock: M 68.5d/1:463 = SD 37.4; S 22.8/3:93 (SA 2:301 f); It 5.2/89 (ItA 2:113); Miln 32; SnA 1:340; UA 106.

⁵¹ N'eva rājâbhinītā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, ie a king, having caught a wrong-doer, tells him, "If you go forth, you will be free." (MA 3:180).

you have gone forth from home into homelessness not because of you have gone forth from home into homelessness not because of you have gone forth from home into homelessness not for the sake of livelihood.⁵⁵ But rather you have done so, thinking,⁵⁶

'I am immersed in birth, decay, death, sorrow, lamentation, physical pain, mental pain, and despair; overwhelmed by suffering, overcome by suffering.

Perhaps, there is a making an end of this whole mass of suffering to be found!'⁵⁷ $(M 68.5/1:463) = SD 37.4^{58}$

Sections (B), (C) and (D) refers respectively to the three trainings of moral virtue, mental cultivation and wisdom. Section (B) refers to the restraint of body and speech, that is, disciplining the five sensedoors so that they do not distract us from directing all our energies to mental cultivation. This training of moral virtue includes keeping the body healthy and manageable, entailing moderation in food.

Section (C), on mental cultivation includes a single-mindedness towards fully living a recluse's life of outer and inner stillness. Once external distractions are removed, it becomes easier to work at removing internal distractions and focusing the mind. Once the mind begins to settle and blissful stillness arise, we simply enjoy it as long as we need to so that we are familiar with it.

Once we are truly familiar with cultivating blissful peace in our hearts, we are ready to use it for the training in wisdom. We begin this phase by getting out of our familiar stillness and immediately reflect on the true nature of reality,⁵⁹ that all conditioned things are impermanent and sufferings, and that all things are not self.⁶⁰ This is the training in wisdom (D).

2.3 A SETTLED MONASTIC COMMUNITY.

2.3.1 To whom is the Sutta addressed? In the Anangana Sutta (M 5), Sāriputta opens his teaching by saying that self-knowledge, whether we have a blemish or not, is vital for spiritual growth. If we have a blemish but are not aware of it, we are unlikely to correct it. On the other hand, if we do not have any blemish, and still is unaware of this, we are unlikely to make any effort to better ourselves, too. In short, self-knowledge spurs us into wholesome practice [§§2-8].

The question now is to whom is this instruction directed: who is Sāriputta's audience? We know that Sāriputta is addressing "the monks" [§1], but what kind of monastic community is this? Is it a quiet forest retreat, or a more settled and crowded community? Let us examine a few more clues from the Sutta itself for an answer.

2.3.2 The list of 19 cases. The first important clue is a long list of 19 examples of how a monk can have a blemish [§§10-28], that is, show anger and upset

⁵² Na corâbhinītā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, ie, having being caught by robbers, plead to them that one is on the way to joing the monastery, so as to escape being killed by them (MA 3:180; SA 2:302; ItA 2:113).

⁵³ Na inattā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, ie, where the creditor releases the debtor if he becomes a monk (MA 3:180), or if he goes forth to flee from creditors (ItA 2:113). The figure of the debtor is often used for one caught up with sensual pleasure: see **Te,vijja S** (D 13.6/1:72) = SD 8.10; MA 2:318; see also *Nīvaraṇa* = SD 32.1 (3.2.1).

^{(3.2.1). &}lt;sup>54</sup> *Na bhayaṭṭā agārasmā anagāriyaṁ pabbajitā*, ie, SA says that these are the "fears" of kings, thieves, hunger, illness and debt (SA 2:302; MA 3:180).

⁵⁵ *N'ājīvikā,pakatā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā*, ie, during famines or difficulties, one goes forth so that one does not have earn a living (MA 3:180).

⁵⁶ As at **Piṇḍolya S** (S 22.80.18c/3:93) = SD 28.9a.

⁵⁷ Last 2 paras: *Api ca kho'mhi otinno jātiyā jarāya maranena sokehi paridevehi dukkhehi domanassehi upāyāse-hi, dukkho,tinno dukkha,pareto; appeva nāma imassa kevalassa dukkha-k,khandhassa anta,kiriyā paññāyethâti.*

 $^{^{58} =} S \ 22.80/3:93 \ (vl \ otiņṇ 'amhā) \ (SA \ 2:301 \ f) = A \ 3.40/1:147-149 \times 3 \ (sg). Pl: S \ 22.80/3:93 \ (vl \ otiṇṇo 'mhi) = It 3.5.2/89 \ (ItA \ 2:113); Miln \ 32; SnA \ 1:340; UA \ 106. Omitting api ca kho 'mhi, and beginning instead with <math>otiṇṇo 'mhi$...: Mahā Sārôpama S $(M \ 29/1:192-202 \times 15) = Cātumā \ S \ (M \ 67/1:460-462 \times 4).$

⁵⁹ See The Buddha discovered dhyana = SD 33.1b (6).

 $^{^{60}}$ See **Dhamma Niyāma Sutta** (A 3.134/1:285) = SD 26.8.

§§10-12	when he commits an offence.	This shows that the monastic code (Pāṭimokkha) has already been instituted (clearly after the first 20 years of the ministry).
§§13-16	desire to be treated as the	
	foremost.	This shows that there are numerous other monks, mostly unawakened if they have such an inclination.
§§17-20	desire for honour through	·
	teaching.	To have the opportunity to teach others, especially the laity, means one build up one's reputation and attracts honour and support from others.
§§21-24	desire for honour.	Although this suggests the monk having a big ego, socially it means there are the ambience or occasions for such a desire, namely, a crowd.
§§25-28	desire for fine material	•
	support.	This clearly refers to a well-established community with rich lay supporters but many monastic vying for their patronage.

2.3.3 Primacy of moral virtue. Sāriputta then warns that even if a monastic were to take up any of the strict ascetic practices, if he still were to have the "blemish" of anger and upset, on account of the weakness mentioned, he would not be respected by his fellow practitioners. Such a false recluse is like a clean bowl containing a carcass [§29]. It looks attractive outside, but within lurks some dangerous weakness.

The true recluse, on the other hand, even if he does not take up the ascetic practices, but lives with the benefits of a settled monastic life, such as living outside the village, not a forest monk), accepting invitation meals and robes donated by the laity (basically, not living as a forest monk), he would still be respected by his peers [§30].

This point is very interesting as it shows that the Sutta was composed at a time when the strict ascetic practices (*dhutaṅga*) were beginning to be popular. Although there were attempts to impose such rules on the whole community, the Buddha rejected this proposal, declaring that they are optional practices⁶¹ [3.3]. There are at least three important reasons for not fully instituting such strict practices: firstly, they are unnecessary and painful.

Secondly, such strict practices (especially living under trees, and not accepting alms invitation or donated robes) would effectively distance the laity from regularly receiving teachings and attending Dharma assemblies. And thirdly, those monks who *appear* to be stricter might be perceived as being "better" than those who are less strict. In other words, it becomes a matter of appearances and ritualism.

A fourth reason is that such strict practices would severely restrict the nuns, who would have great difficulty living in lonely forest lives and seeking basic supports. Understandably, the Buddha places greater emphasis on moral virtue and mental cultivation than on strict ascetic practices.⁶² Another intersting reason for the rejection of making strict ascetic practices compulsory is discussed below [3.3.1].

2.3.4 Social control. Moggallāna categorically and jubilantly approves of Sāriputta's teaching on the importance of self-knowledge in spiritual training [1.1.2]. Then Moggallāna goes on to show the difference between a false renunciant and a true one, enumerating their qualities in great detail. Indeed, these are their most detailed definitions in the suttas [2.1]. What is the purpose of such a careful analysis?

The most obvious answer would be that this serves as a guideline for the training of unawakened monastics, that is to say, they do not fall into the wrong path, but direct all their efforts to the proper spir-

87

http://dharmafarer.org

⁶¹ On Devadatta's "five demands," see Cv 7.3.14-17 @ V 2:196-198. See Piya Tan, *The Buddha and His Disciples*, 2004: 7.8 & **Devadatta** = SD 71.4.

⁶² See $\mathbf{R\bar{u}pa}$ S (A 4.65/2:71) in The teacher or the teaching? = SD 3.14 (7). On the 26 occasions for coneeit, see Sappurisa S (M 113) @ SD 23.7 (1.1).

itual training. After all, it is clear from such discourses as **the Cātumā Sutta** (M 67), that it is the duty of the two great disciples to "look after" (*pariharati*) of the community of monks. ⁶³

The phrase "community of monk" (*bhikkhu,sangha*) is very significant. It shows that there is now a sizeable community of renunciants, not all of whom, it clear, joined up for spiritual reasons. The Buddhist order has by now become so well known, respected and established that it commands great respect and attracts much wealth. Even if the community is located in the sprawling country-side, it is so well populated that it might look just like a small village or even town, as some of the more successful monasteries and temples that we see today in much of Buddhist Asia today. In other words, we are speaking of the later years of the Buddha's ministry, certainly during the last 25 years, or any time up to Asoka's time, when the suttas were closed.

Such suttas, in other words, are vital tools of disciplining a large community, so that it does not attract the wrong kind of candidates. Indeed, from the number of such, especially the "prophetic" texts, ⁶⁴ we can surmise that this is indeed the case. Yet the purpose of the Dharma and the community are clearly to turn the lives of such people around. In short, such teachings provide at least some level of *social control* for the sake of spiritual growth.

3 The four supports

3.1 THE FOUR BASIC SUPPORTS. Although all the world religions, as a rule, began with their founders humbly living simple lives, often in close communion with nature, and teaching publicly by way of peripatetic crowds, their teachings, methods and lifestyle evolved with their success. Clearly, a religious community, especially one as successful as the Buddhist sangha, cannot live on faith alone. Everyone needs basic sustenances, especially food, clothing, shelter and health.

Almost as soon as the Buddha begins teaching the people, donations of these basic supports of life quickly flow and flooded into the monastic community. However, even as the community attracted such enthusiastic support from the faithful, the Buddha, for that very reason (and also for sake of the solitary forest monks), lays down the ground rules on how the monastics should simply sustain themselves without burdening or exploiting society. The Buddha from the start exhorts the early monks to make use of the four basic supports (*paccaya*) of life, namely:

- (1) <u>Almsfood</u> (*piṇḍa,pāta*), that is, almsfood of scraps gathered on almsround (*piṇḍiya,lopa,-bhojana*).
- (2) <u>Robes</u> (*cīvara*), that is, discarded cloth taken from the refuse heap or the charnel ground (that is, shrouds), that is, rag-robes (*paṁsukula*, *cīvara*).
- (3) Tree-foot lodging (sen'āsana), that is, dwelling at the foot of a tree (rukkha, mūla, senāsana).
- (4) <u>Support for the sick and medical requisites</u> (*gilāna.paccaya,bhesajja.parikkhāra*), that is, medicine of fermented cow's urine (*pūti,mutta,bhesajja*). (Mv 1.30 @ V 1:58)

These four supports are to be properly "reflectively" used, that is, neither accumulating them nor seeing pleasure in them, but merely as external supports for an internal spiritual quest. **The Sabb'āsava Sutta** (M 2), for example, records the Buddha as teaching monastic on how to abandon mental fetters through reflective use by way of the four reflections on the supports.⁶⁵

3.2 THE EXTRA ALLOWANCES. Besides these basic life-supports, the Buddha gives extra allowances ($atireka, l\bar{a}bha$) as the occasion arises (V 1:58). For example, besides <u>alms scrap</u>, the extra allowances include invitation meals and ticket meals (distributed by drawing lots); besides <u>rag-robes</u>, robes of cotton or

 $^{^{63}}$ M 67.13/1:459 = SD 34.7.

⁶⁴ They incl **Cakka,vatti Sīhanāda S** (D 26/3:58-79), the 3 **Ovāda Ss** (S 16.6-8/2:203-210), **Saddhamma Paṭir-** $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ **paka S** (S 16.13/2:223-225), Āṇi S (S 20.7/2:266 f), the 2 **Adhamma Ss** (A 1.10.34-42, 1.11.1-10/1:18-20); **Saddhamma Sammosā S** (A 2.2.10/1:58 f); the 3 **Kimbila Ss** (A 5.201, 6.40, 7.56). See also **The Dharma-ending age** = SD 1.10 (3-5).

⁶⁵ See M 2.13-17/1:10 = SD 30.3.

wool are also allowed; besides <u>tree-foot lodging</u>, a residence (*vihāra*) or a cave are allowed; and besides fermented cow's urine, ghee, fresh butter, oil, honey and molasses are allowed. ⁶⁶

In fact, there are no rules against monastics seeking any kind of proper medical treatment. However, all such are to be regarded as only supports for living the spiritual life, so that they should be used in a proper reflective manner.⁶⁷

3.3 STRICT ASCETIC PRACTICES ARE OPTIONAL

- <u>3.3.1 Devadatta's five points.</u> Despite the Buddha permitting the extra allowances [3.2], as we have seen, there is at least one major attempt at rejecting such concessions and keeping the monastic order as a strictly ascetic order resorting only to the four basic supports. The Culla,vagga of **the Vinaya** records the influential monk Deva,datta and his followers of presenting the "five points" (*pañca vatthu*) [2.3.3],that is.
 - (1) that monks should be forest-dwellers ($\bar{a}ra\tilde{n}\tilde{n}aka$) all their lives; those who live in the vicinity of a village ($g\bar{a}m'anta$) would be committing an offence;⁶⁸
 - (2) that they should resort to almsfood (*piṇḍa*,*pāta*); those who accept invitation meals (*nimantana*) would be committing an offence; ⁶⁹
 - (3) that they should resort to dust-heap cloth ($pa\dot{m}su,k\bar{u}la$); those who accept robes from householders ($gahapati,c\bar{v}vara$) would be committing an offence; ⁷⁰
 - (4) that they should resort to the foot of a tree (*rukkha,mula*); those who resort to a covered place (*channa*) would be committing an offence; ⁷¹
 - (5) that they should not eat fish and meat (*maccha,mamsa*); those who eat them would be committing an offence. (Cv 7.3.14 @ V 2:197)

The Buddha, the Vinaya says, warns Devadatta not to promote these five points, as it might bring about a schism. Such practices are optional, depending of personal inclination. In the case of the last, however, fish or meat is pure if one has not seen, heard or suspected that it has specially been killed for one.⁷³

We can see that the three strict ascetic practices [§29a] concur with the first three of the five points. The fourth point can be included in the first. The fifth point, regarding a non-meat diet, has been fully dealt in such discourses as **the Amagandha Sutta** (Sn 2.2). We can also see that the other three practices, which are allowed by the Buddha, are their counterpoints.

Hence, we can surmise that the Anangana Sutta, at least the sections on the false and the true recluses spoken by Moggallana [§§29-30] must surely address Devadatta's attempt to cause a schism in the early sangha, or some similar attempt. The Sutta, as such, aims to remind us of keeping to the middle of the middle way.

3.3.2 Foregoing the extras. The Buddha refuses to make compulsory the five points of strict ascetic practice proposed by Devadatta [3.3.1]. Since they are optional, there are those who actually choose to

⁶⁶ Mv 1.30.4 @ V 1:58.

⁶⁷ See **Sabb'āsava S** (M 2.13-17/1:10) & SD 30.3 (2.3.1) & **Anubaddha Bhikkhu S** (S 47.3) @ SD 24.6a (2.3.1-(2)).

⁶⁸ Bhikkhū yāva, jīvam āraññakā assu, yo gāmantam osareyya vajjam nam phuseyya.

⁶⁹ (Bhikkhū) yāva,jīvam piṇḍa,pātikā assu, yo nimantanam sādiyeyya vajjam nam phuseyya.

⁷⁰ (Bhikkhū) yāva,jīvam pamsu,kūlikā assu, yogahapati,cīvaram sādiyeyya vajjam nam phuseyya. Elsewhere, a certain monk proposes to the Buddha that monks should reject all clothing, ie go naked (*naggiya*). The Buddha rebukes him saying that this would make the monks be just like heterdox sectarians, esp the naked ascetics (Mv 8.28.1 @ V 1:305). The rule is that a monk may use either dust-heap cloth or robes donated by the laity (Mv 8.1.35 @ V 1:280 f).

⁷¹ (*Bhikkhū*) yāva, jīvam rukkha, mūlikā assu, yo channam upagaccheyya vajjam nam phuseyya. Dwelling under a tree, however, is expressly prohibited during the 3-month rains (Mv 3.12.5 @ V 1:152).

⁷² (Bhikkhū) yāva, jīvam maccha, mamsam na khādeyyum, yo maccha, mamsam khadeyya vajjam nam phuseyya.

⁷³ Cv 7.3.14 @ V 2:197; Mv 6.31.14 @ V 1:238.

 $^{^{74}}$ Sn 2.2/239-252 = SD 4.24.

observe them or some of them. Amongst the best known examples of those who have opted for living a strict ascetic life are the elders Mahā Kassapa, Revata Khadira, vaniya, Pindola Bhāra, dvāja and Bakkula.

Mahā Kassapa is recorded in Anguttara as being the foremost of those who live an ascetic life.⁷⁵ **The Cīvara Sutta** (S 16.11) tells a famous story where the Buddha exchanges his own worn-out hempen ragrobes ($s\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ pamsukūlā nibbasanā) for Mahā Kassapa's patch-cloak ($pata,pilotik\bar{a}$).⁷⁶ Since then, he wears only rag-robes, and only on almsfood.⁷⁷ He lives to be very old and, when he dies, has not slept on a bed for 120 years, that is to say, he has been keeping to the rule of sleeping only in the sitting posture (nesajji-k'anga).⁷⁸

Sleeping in a sitting posture is common enough amongst the great elders of the Buddha's time. The Dīgha Commentary reports that, besides Mahā Kassapa, the following elders, too, sleep without lying down on a bed:⁷⁹ both Sāriputta and Moggallāna, for 30 years; Anuruddha 50 years; Bhaddiya 30 years; Soṇa 18 years; Raṭṭhapāla 12 years; Ānanda 15 years; Rahula 12; Bakkula 80 years (DA 3:736).

The elder **Revata Khadira,vaniya**, the acacia forest dweller, is renowned for his solitary life style, and is the foremost of those monks who are forest-dwellers $(\bar{a}ra\tilde{n}\tilde{n}ika)$. Yet, he would still make a point of proclaiming that he feels himself a friend and comrade to all, being compassionate towards all brings. 81

Piṇḍola Bhāra,dvāja is best known as the foremost of monks who are lion-roarers (A 1:23), that is, he is the best model of one who is an allayer of doubts in a monk. **The Piṇḍola Sutta** (U 4.6) records that he is praised by the Buddha as an exemplary ascetic monk, that is,

a forest-dweller, one who goes on almsround, a dust-heap robe user, a three-robe user; 82 of few wishes, content, secluded [reclusive], not socializing, intent on spiritual effort, a proponent of the ascetic life, 83 devoted to the higher mind. 84 (U 4.6/42 f) = SD 27.6a(2.3)

The Bakkula Sutta (M 124) is the best known example of a monk who observes some of the ascetic practices (*dhutanga*) for the whole duration of his monk-life of 80 years. From his statements, we deduce that Bakkula, during his 80 years as a monk, uses only robes made from dust-heap cloth, never accepting any robe from the laity, ⁸⁵ has nothing to do with any invitation meal, ⁸⁶ and does not sleep on a bed or use a reclining-board. ⁸⁷

These are examples of exceptional monks who keep to various forms of strict ascetic practices. Most of the other monks of the Buddha's times, even the great elders, do not follow these strict practices. However, on occasions, they might go on a solitary retreat when they might for that duration observe some such rules.

⁷⁵ Dhuta, vādānam or dhutanga, dharānam (A 14.1/1:23).

 $^{^{76}}$ S 16.11/2:217-222 = SD 77.5.

⁷⁷ See **Beggars** *can* be choosers = SD 71.2.

⁷⁸ DA 3:736: Vism 2.85/81: see also **Bakkula S** (M 124) @ SD 3.15 (2.1).

⁷⁹ "Without lying down on a bed," *mañce pitthim na pasāresi*.

 $^{^{80}}$ A 1.14/1:24; cf M 32/1:213; MĀ 184 = T1.727b3; EĀ 37.3 = T2.710c24; T154 = T3.81a27, which record his praises in favour of living in seclusion.

⁸¹ In **the Revata Khadira,vaniya Thera,gāthā**, he declares that he is "a friend to all, a companion to all, compassionate to all beings, | And I cultivate a heart of lovingkindness, always delighting in being free from anger" (sabba,mitto sabba,sakho sabba,bhūtânukampako | mettaṁ cittañ ca bhāvemi avyāpajjha,rato sadā, Tha 648).

⁸² These are 4 of the 13 optional ascetic practices: see Vism ch 2 & also **Bakkula S** (M 124/3:124-128) & SD 3.15 Intro (2.1).

⁸³ *Dhuta,vāda*, more commonly said of Mahā Kassapa (**Caṅkmanta S**, S 14.15/2:155 f), who is the foremost of those monks who practice asceticism (A 1:23).

⁸⁴ "Devoted to the higher mind" (*adhicittam-anuyutto*), constantly meditating in dhyana. The higher mind here refers to the third of the three trainings, ie, "training in the higher mind" (*adhi.citta,sikkhā*): see (**Ti) Sikkhā S** (A 3.88/1:235) = SD 24.10c. On <u>dhyana</u>, see **Dhyana** = SD 8.4.

⁸⁵ M 124.9-15/2:126 = SD 3.15.

⁸⁶ M 124.16-19/2:126 = SD 3.15.

⁸⁷ Suggesting that he sleep in a sitting (meditating) posture: M 124.35-36/2:127 = SD 3.15.

The point is that these practices are optional, useful when we have an inclination for them and they help to expedite our meditation and spiritual practice.

In **the Kassapa Sīha,nāda Sutta** (D 8), the Buddha explains the nature of ascetic practices, that he is the foremost practitioner of such practices. His success here is that he finds complete satisfaction in them and has attained the highest goal. In other words, this is the Buddha's own lion-roar regarding the practice of strict asceticism.⁸⁸

The Discourse on the Blemish-free

M 5/1:24-32

1 Thus have I heard.

At one time the Blessed One was staying in Anātha,piṇḍika's monastery-park, in Jeta's grove, near Sāvatthī.

Then the venerable Sāriputta addressed the monks.

"Avuso bhikshus [Brother monks]!"

"Avuso," the monks replied to the venerable Sāriputta in assent.

The venerable Sāriputta said this:

The four types of person in terms of blemish

2a "Avuso, there are these four kinds of persons⁸⁹ to be found in the world.⁹⁰ What are the four?

(1) Here, avuso, a certain person *has* a blemish, 91 but does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me.'

(2) Here, too, avuso, a certain person has a blemish, but *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me.'

(3) Here, avuso, a certain person has *no* blemish, but does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me.'

(4) Here, too, avuso, a certain person has no blemish, but *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me.'

 $^{^{88}}$ D 8/1:161-177 = SD 73.12.

⁸⁹ Comy makes a careful analysis of *puggala* here. First, it simply says that *puggalā* (pl) refers to "beings, men, persons" (*sattā narā posā*), and rejects the view of the "great elders of the Puggala, vādā" (*puggala, vādī mahā, the-rā*). It points out that the Buddha teaches in two ways (*duvidhā desanā*), ie, in terms of <u>conventional truth</u> (*sammuti desanā*), such as "individual, being, woman, man, kshatriya, brahmin, deva, Māra," and in terms of <u>the ultimate truth or goal</u> (*paramattha desanā*), such as "impermanence, suffering, not-self, the aggregates, the elements, the planes, the focusses of mindfulness." The Buddha teaches in either manner so that the listener would, "by understanding its meaning or goal (*attha*), dispel delusion and attain distinction (sainthood)" (MA 1:137). The 4 kinds of person, it says, are to be understood in the conventional way, rejecting any notion or an abiding self or entity (*atta*). (MA 1:137-139; AA 1:194 f; ItA 1:82 f; cf DA 382 f; KvuA 34; Nett 903/1:176). The **Pudgala,vāda** (Skt) was a group of 5 of the early schools of Buddhism, known for their distinct doctrine of the reality of the self, comprising the Vātsīputrīya, the original Pudgalavāda school (rising around early 3rd-middle of 2nd cent BCE), and 4 others derived from it: the Dharmottarīya, the Bhadrayānīya, the Sāmmitīya, and the Śannagarika. For details, see http://www.iep.utm.edu/pudgalav/

⁹⁰ Comy notes that here "world" (*loka*) refers to "the world of beings" (*satta,loka*) (MA 1:139). Clearly, this is an allusion to the 3 kinds of worlds, ie the world of formations (*saṅkhāra,loka*), of beings, and of space (*okāsa,loka*), mentioned by Buddhaghosa (Vism 7.37/204 f; DA 1:173; MA 1:397, 2:200). See **Brahma Nimantanika S** (M 49) @ SD 11.7 (4) & **Rohitassa S** (S 2.26/1:61 f ≠ A 4.45/2:47-49) & SD 7.1 (1).

⁹¹ "With a blemish," $s\hat{a}ngana = sa + angana$, on this sutta's key terms, see Intro (1.2).

2b Here, avuso, that person <u>with a blemish</u> who does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,' is said to be *the inferior* of the two persons with a blemish.⁹²

Here, avuso, that person with a blemish who *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,' is said to be *the superior* of the two persons with a blemish.⁹³

Here, avuso, that person without a blemish [25] who does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,' is said to be *the inferior* of the two persons without blemish.

Here, avuso, that person without a blemish who *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,' is said to be *the superior* of the two persons without a blemish.

Moggallāna's question

3 When this was said, the venerable Mahā Moggallāna said this to the venerable Sāriputta,

"What now, avuso Sāriputta, is the cause, the condition, that the person with a blemish who knows for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,' is said to be the superior of the two persons with a blemish?

What now, avuso Sāriputta, is the cause, the condition, that the person <u>without a blemish</u> who *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,' is said to be *the superior* of the two persons without a blemish?"

The ones with blemish

4a HE WHO KNOWS *NOT* HIS BLEMISH. "Here, avuso, as for the person with a blemish who does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,'

it is *not* to be expected that he would exert zeal, nor make an effort, nor arouse energy, for the abandoning of that blemish. ⁹⁴

He would die with a defiled mind, with lust, with hate, with delusion. 95

4b THE DIRTY BOWL MORE DEFILED. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is enveloped in dust and dirt, ⁹⁶

and the owners neither use it nor have it cleaned, but have it put away in a dusty corner. 97

Avuso, would the bronze bowl thus become more defiled, on account of its gathering dirt over time?" 98

"Of course, avuso."

"Even so, avuso, for the person with a blemish who does not know for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,'

it is not to be expected that he would exert zeal, nor make an effort, nor arouse energy, for the abandoning of that blemish.

He would die with a defiled mind, with lust, with hate, with delusion.

5a HE WHO *KNOWS* HIS BLEMISH. Here, avuso, as for the person with a blemish who *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me,'

it *is* to be expected that he would exert zeal, make an effort, arouse energy, for the abandoning of that blemish.

He would die without a defiled mind, without lust, without hate, without delusion.

⁹² Tatr'āvuso, yvâyam puggalo sângaṇo'va samāno "atthi me ajjhattam angaṇan'ti yathā,bhūtam na-p,pajānāti, ayam imesam dvinnam puggalānam sângaṇānam yeva satam <u>hīna,puris</u>o akkhāyati.

⁹³ Tatr'āvuso, yvâyam puggalo sâṅgaṇo'va samāno 'atthi me ajjhattam aṅgaṇan'ti yathā,bhūtam pajānāti, ayam imesam dvinnam puggalānam sâṅgaṇānam yeva satam <u>seṭṭha,puriso</u> akkhāyati.

⁹⁴ Tass'etam pāṭikankham: na chandam janessati na vāyamissati na vīriyam ārabhissati tass'angaṇassa pahānā-

⁹⁵ So sa,rāgo sa,doso sa,moho sâṅgano saṅkilittha,citto kālaṁ karissati.

⁹⁶ Seyyathā'pi, āvuso, kaṁsa,pāti ābhatā āpaṇā vā kammāra,kulā vā rajena ca malena ca pariyonaddhā.

⁹⁷ Tam enam sāmikā na c'eva paribhuñjeyyum na ca pariyodapeyyum, rajā,pathe ca nam nikkhipeyyum.

⁹⁸ Evañ hi sā, āvuso, kaṁsa,pāti aparena samayena saṅkiliṭṭha,tarā assa mala-g,gahitā'ti?

5b THE DIRTY BOWL CLEANED. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is enveloped in dust and dirt,

and the owners would use it and have it cleaned, and not have it put away in a dusty corner. [26] Avuso, would the bronze bowl thus become more pure, on account of its being cleaned over time?" "Of course, avuso."

"Even so, avuso, for the person with a blemish who knows for himself just as it is, 'There is a blemish in me.'

it *is* to be expected that he would exert zeal, make an effort, arouse energy, for the abandoning of that blemish.

He would die without a defiled mind, without lust, without hate, without delusion.

The ones without blemish

6a HE WHO KNOWS *NOT* HE HAS NO BLEMISH. "Here, avuso, as for the person <u>without a blemish</u> who does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,'

it is to be expected that he would pay attention to a sign of the beautiful, 100

so that by his paying attention to the sign of the beautiful, lust would corrupt his mind.

He would die with a defiled mind, with lust, with hate, with delusion.

6b THE CLEAN BOWL DEFILED. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is pure and clean,

and the owners neither use it nor have it cleaned, but have it put away in a dusty corner.

Avuso, would the bronze bowl thus become more defiled, on account of its gathering dirt over time?" "Of course, avuso."

"Even so, avuso, for the person without a blemish who does *not* know for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,'

it is to be expected that he would pay attention to the beautiful sign,

so that by his paying attention to the beautiful sign, lust would corrupt his mind.

He would die with a defiled mind, with lust, with hate, with delusion.

7a HE WHO KNOWS *NOT* HE HAS NO BLEMISH. "Here, avuso, as for the person <u>without a blemish</u> who *knows* for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,'

it is to be expected that he would *not* pay attention to the beautiful sign,

so that by his not paying attention to the beautiful sign, lust would not corrupt his mind.

He would die without a defiled mind, without lust, without hate, without delusion.

7b THE CLEAN BOWL CLEANER. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is pure and clean,

and the owners use it, have it cleaned, and not have it put away in a dusty corner.

Avuso, would the bronze bowl thus become more purified, on account of its being cleaned over time?"

"Of course, avuso."

"Even so, avuso, for the person without a blemish who knows for himself just as it is, 'There is no blemish in me,'

it is to be expected that he would *not* pay attention to the beautiful sign,

so that by his not paying attention to the beautiful sign, lust would not corrupt his mind.

He would die without a defiled mind, without lust, without hate, without delusion.

⁹⁹ Evañ hi sā, āvuso, kaṁsa,pāti aparena samayena parisuddha,tarā assa pariyodātā'ti?

This and foll lines: Subha, nimittam manasi karissati, tassa subha, nimittassa manasi, kārā rāgo cittam anud-dhamsessati. "A sign of the beautiful" (subha, nimitta) is a mental object we take to be desirable, as so becomes the basis for lust, explained in the suttas, thus: "Frequently giving unwise attention to it is food for the arising of unarisen lustful desire and for the growth and abundance of arisen lustful desire." (S 46.2/5:64 = 46.51.3/5:103). See further *Nimitta* = SD 19.7.

8 This, avuso [27] Moggallāna, is the cause, the condition, why, of these two persons with with a blemish, one is said to be the *inferior person*, the other is said to be the superior person.

This, avuso Moggallāna, is the cause, the condition, why, of these two persons with <u>without blemish</u>, one is said to be the *inferior person*, the other is said to be *the superior person*.

Definition of "blemish"

9 "'Blemish, blemish,' avuso, it is said. What now, avuso, does this term 'blemish' refer to?" "This term 'blemish' (angana), avuso, is a term for the spheres of evil unwholesome wishes." 102

HOW BLEMISHES ARISE

Through committing offences

10 (1) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Now, if I were to have committed an offence, let no monk know that I have committed it!' 103

But it is possible, avuso, that the monks would know that this monk has committed an offence.

Thinking, 'The monks know that I have committed an offence!' he is angry and upset. 104

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes. 105

11 (2) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Now, if I were to have committed an offence, let them reprove ¹⁰⁶ me in private, not in the midst of the sangha.' ¹⁰⁷

But it is possible, avuso, that the monks would reprove this monk in the midst of the sangha, not in private.

Thinking, 'The monks reprove me in the midst of the sangha, not in private!' he is angry and upset. This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

12 (3) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Now, if I were to have committed an offence, let someone who is an equal reprove me, not someone who is not an equal.' 108

¹⁰¹ Anganam anganan ti, āvuso, vuccati. Kissa nu kho etam, āvuso, adhivacanam yad idam anganan'ti?

¹⁰² Pāpakānam kho etam, āvuso, akusalānam icchā'vacarānam adhivacanam, yad idam angaṇan'ti. Qu at BA

^{175.} See Iṇā S (A 6.45.10-12/3:353) = SD 37.5, where such a conduct is compared to accumulating "interest" to a bad deed ("debt") already done, ie, aggravating the situation. Instead the offender should confess his offence to an elder or before the sangha. Cf V 2:32.

^{&#}x27;Jānanti mam bhikkhū āpattim āpanno'ti iti so kupito hoti appatīto. **Appatīta** = a + patīta (pati + \sqrt{t} , "to go"; Skt pratīta), discontented, dissatisfied, displeased, disappointed (cf appaccaya, DA 1:52,2),V 3:163; M 1:27 (= domanassâbhibhūto, "overcome by displeasure," MA 1:143), 3:221; J 5:155 (cf comy J 156); DA 1:52; SnA 423. See CPD: a-ppatīta.

¹⁰⁵ Yo c'eva kho, āvuso, kopo yo ca appaccayo: ubhayam etam anganam.

¹⁰⁶ "Let them reprove," *codeyyuin*, or, "that they would reprove" (M 1:27×2; **Codana S** (A 5.167/3:198), pot 3 sg of *codeti*, "he reproves" (**V** 1:176×3, 322-325 passim, 2:6, 8, 15, 20, 24, 25, 28, 82-84 passim, 101, 3:163-170 passim, 4:148; **M** 1:230-234 passim, 1:27, 2:249).

¹⁰⁷ Alt: "...I should be reproved in private, not before the sangha." Āpattiñ ca vata āpanno assam, anuraho mam bhikkhū codeyyum, no sangha,majjhê ti. Here assam is pot 1 sg (**M** 1:27,15, 451,5; **S** 3:205,32 = A 5:63,29; **A** 2:-241,18; **J** 3:165,25*, 4:35,28', 470,24*, 6:482,24*, 573,2*; **DhA** 3:92.17; **Ap** 98,1: see Warder, *Introduction to Pali*, 1974:86 & CPD 1:113 f: (assam).

¹⁰⁸ Āpattiñ ca vata āpanno assam, sappaṭipuggalo mam codeyya, no appaṭipuggalo'ti. Comy says that here "an equal" (sappaṭipuggala), is "an equal person, that is, one with an offence" (samāno puggalo, samāno ti sâpattiko), thinking that the reprover (paṭipuggala), who himself has an offence, should confessed it first before reproving him. Or, he wishes that the reprover would be someone from the same class (jāti, "birth"), clan, learning, ability, ascetic practice, etc (MA 1:144), in other words, someone compatible or a peer. This is an example of conceit (māna), or measuring of others against oneself: see Udakûpama S (A 7.15) @ SD 28.6 (1.2.8) & Anusaya = SD 31.3 (4).

But it is possible, avuso, that someone who is an unequal would reprove this monk, not one who is an equal.

Thinking, 'Someone unequal reproves me, not one who is an equal!' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

Through desire to be treated as foremost

13 (4) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that the teacher would teach the Dharma to the monks, questioning and counter-questioning me; that the teacher would not teach the Dharma to the monks, questioning and counter-questioning another monk!' 109

But it is possible, avuso, that the teacher would teach the Dharma to the monks, [28] questioning and counter-questioning another monk; that the teacher would teach the Dharma to the monks without questioning and counter-questioning that monk.

Thinking, 'The teacher does not teach the Dharma to the monks, questioning and counter-questioning me, but the teacher teaches the Dharma to the monks, questioning and counter-questioning another monk!' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

14 (5) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that the monks would enter the village for alms, having put me in the front; that they would not enter the village for alms, having put some other monk in the front!' 110

But it is possible, avuso, that the monks would enter the village for alms, having put some other monk in the front; that they would enter the village for alms, not putting that monk in the front.

Thinking, "The monks have entered the village for alms, having put some other monk in the front. They have entered the village for alms, not putting me in the front!" he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

15 (6) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that I would surely get the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory; that some other monk would not get the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would get the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory; that *that* monk would not get the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory.

Thinking, 'Some other monk has gotten the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory; I have not gotten the foremost seat, the best drink, the best almsfood in the refectory!' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

16 (7) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it would be I who, having had my meal, would give thanks in the refectory; it would not be some other monk who, having had his meal, would gives thanks in the refectory!' 112

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk who, having had his meal, would give thanks in the refectory; it is not that monk who, having had his meal, would give thanks in the refectory.

Thinking, 'Some other monk, having had his meal, has given thanks in the refectory; it is not I who, having had my meal, who gives thanks in the refectory,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

¹⁰⁹ Aho vata mam eva satthā paṭipucchitvā paṭipucchitvā bhikkhūnaṁ dhammaṁ deseyya, na aññaṁ bhikkhuṁ satthā paṭipucchitvā paṭipucchitvā bhikkhūnaṁ dhammaṁ deseyyâ ti.

¹¹⁰ Aho vata mam eva bhikkhū purakkhatvā purakkhatvā gāmam bhattāya paviseyyum, na aññam bhikkhum bhik-khū purakkhatvā purakkhatvā gāmam bhattāya paviseyyun'ti.

¹¹¹ Aho vata aham eva labheyyam bhatt'agge agg'āsanam agg'odakam agga,piṇḍam, na añño bhikkhu labheyya bhatt'agge agg'āsanam agg'odakam agga,piṇḍan'ti. See V 2:161, for a list of those monks fit for such a meal.

¹¹² Aho vata aham eva bhatt'agge bhuttāvī anumodeyyaṁ, na añño bhikkhu bhatt'agge bhuttāvī anumodeyyâ ti.

Through desire for honour through teaching

17 (8) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it would be I who would teach the Dharma to <u>monks</u> who have come to the monastery; that some other monk would not teach the monks who have come to the monastery!' 113

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would teach the Dharma to monks who have come to the monastery; that *that* monk is not the one [29] who would teach the monks who have come to the monastery.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is teaching the Dharma to the monks who have come to the monastery; I am not the one who teaches the monks who have come to the monastery,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

18 (9) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it would be I who would teach the Dharma to <u>nuns</u> who have come to the monastery; that some other monk would not teach the nuns who have come to the monastery!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would teach the Dharma to nuns who have come to the monastery; that *that* monk is not the one who would teach the nuns who have come to the monastery.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is teaching the Dharma to the nuns who have come to the monastery; I am not the one who teaches the nuns who have come to the monastery,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

19 (10) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it would be I who would teach the Dharma to <u>laymen</u> who have come to the monastery; that some other monk would not teach the laymen who have come to the monastery!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would teach the Dharma to laymen who have come to the monastery; that *that* monk is not the one who would teach the laymen who have come to the monastery.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is teaching the Dharma to the laymen who have come to the monastery; I am not the one who teaches the laymen who have come to the monastery,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

20 (11) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it would be I who would teach the Dharma to <u>laywomen</u> who have come to the monastery; that some other monk would not teach the laywomen who have come to the monastery!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would teach the Dharma to laywomen who have come to the monastery; that *that* monk is not the one who would teach the laywomen who have come to the monastery.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is teaching the Dharma to the nuns who have come to the monastery; I am not the one who teaches the nuns who have come to the monastery,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

Through desire for honour

96

21 (12) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it is me that the <u>monks</u> would honour, respect, esteem, worship; that the monks would not honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk!' 114

But it is possible, avuso, that the monks would honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk; that the monks would not honour, respect, esteem, worship that monk.

Thinking, 'It is some other monk the monks honour, respect, esteem, worship; it is not me that the monks honour, respect, esteem, worship,' he is angry and upset.

¹¹³ Aho vata aham eva ārāma,gatānam bhikkhūnam dhammam deseyyam, na añño bhikkhu ārāma,gatānam bhik-khūnam dhammam deseyyâ ti.

¹¹⁴ Aho vata mam eva bhikkhū sakkareyyum garum kareyyum māneyyum pūjeyyum, na aññam bhikkhum bhikkhū sakkareyyum garum kareyyum māneyyum pūjeyyun'ti.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

22 (13) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it is me that the <u>nuns</u> would honour, respect, esteem, worship; that the nuns would not honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk!'

But it is possible, avuso, that the nuns would honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk; that the nuns would not honour, respect, esteem, worship that monk.

Thinking, 'It is some other monk the nuns honour, respect, esteem, worship; it is not me that the nuns honour, respect, esteem, worship,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

23 (14) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it is me that the <u>laymen</u> would honour, respect, esteem, worship; that the monks would not honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk!'

But it is possible, avuso, that the laymen would honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk; that the laymen would not honour, respect, esteem, worship that monk.

Thinking, 'It is some other monk the laymen honour, respect, esteem, worship; it is not me that the laymen honour, respect, esteem, worship,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

24 (15) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that it is me that the <u>laywomen</u> would honour, respect, esteem, worship; that the laywomen would not honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk!'

But it is possible, avuso, that the laywomen would honour, respect, esteem, worship some other monk; that the laywomen would not honour, respect, esteem, worship that monk.

Thinking, 'It is some other monk the laywomen honour, respect, esteem, worship; it is not me that the laywomen honour, respect, esteem, worship,' he is angry and upset.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

Through desire for fine material supports

25 (16) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that I would receive fine robes; that some other monks would not receive fine robes!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would receive fine robes; that *that* monk would not receive fine robes.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is receiving fine robes; it is not that I who receives fine robes!' he is angry and upset. 115

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

26 (17) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that I would receive fine almsfood; that some other monks would not receive fine almsfood!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would receive fine almsfood; that *that* monk would not receive fine almsfood.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is receiving fine almsfood; it is not that I who receives fine almsfood!' he is angry and upset. 116

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

27 (18) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that I would receive fine lodgings; that some other monks would not receive fine lodgings!'

But it is possible, avuso, that some [30] other monk would receive fine lodgings; that *that* monk would not receive fine lodgings.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is receiving fine lodgings; it is not that I who receives fine lodgings!' he is angry and upset. 117

On the proper use of robes, see **Sabb'āsava S** (M 2.13/1:10) = SD 30.3.

On the proper use of almsfood, see **Sabb'āsava S** (M 2.14/1:10) = SD 30.3.

On the proper use of lodging, see **Sabb'āsava S** (M 2.15/1:10) = SD 30.3.

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

28 (19) It is possible, avuso, that a wish might occur to a certain monk here, thus:

'Oh that I would receive fine support for the sick and medical requisites; that some other monks would not receive fine support for the sick and medical requisites!'118

But it is possible, avuso, that some other monk would receive fine support for the sick and medical requisites; that that monk would not receive fine support for the sick and medical requisites.

Thinking, 'Some other monk is receiving fine support for the sick and medical requisites; it is not that I who receives fine support for the sick and medical requisites!' he is angry and upset. 119

This anger and this upset, avuso—they are both blemishes.

These, avuso, are the spheres of evil unwholesome sphere of wishes, the term for which is 'blemish.,120

False recluse

29a In whatever monk, avuso, these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard not to have been abandoned by him. 121

even if he were

a forest-dweller who resorts to a remote lodging, 122

one who lives on almsfood, faring from house-to-house without omitting any, ¹²³

one who wears coarse robes, made from dust-heap cloth, ¹²⁴

fellow brahmacharis [fellows in the holy life] would still not honour, respect, esteem, worship him.

What is the reason for this?

It is because these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard not to have been abandoned by him.

29b THE CLEAN BOWL CONTAINING A CARCASS. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is pure and clean,

and the owners were to put a snake carcass, or a dog carcass, or a human carcass in it, and covering it with another bronze bowl, and were to take it to the middle of a market.

Then people, seeing it, would say:

'Hey, what is this you are carrying about that's like a very fine thing?¹²⁵

Having raised (the lid) and opening it, so that they would look into it. 126

¹¹⁸ Aho vata aham eva lābhī assam paṇītānam gilāna-p,paccaya,bhesajja,parikkhārānam, na añño bhikkhu lābhī assa paṇītānam gilāna-p,paccaya,bhesajja,parikkhārānan'ti.

On the proper use of support for the sick, see Sabb'āsava S (M 2.16/1:10) = SD 30.3.

¹²⁰ Imesam kho etam, āvuso, pāpakānam akusalānam icchā'vacarānam adhivacanam, yad idam angaṇan ti.

¹²¹ Yassa kassaci, āvuso, bhikkhuno ime pāpakā akusalā icchā'vacarā appahīnā dissanti c'eva sūyanti ca.

^{122 &}quot;A forest-dweller who resorts to a remote lodging," āraññiko panta, sen 'āsano, where I take the final phrase as qualifying the initial one. This is to counterpoint the true recluses' 3 kinds of lighter practice [§30c]. For a fuller expl, see Intro (1.1.5).

²³ Sa,padāna,cārī, "moving from a house one has arrived at, moving on to another successively" (sampatta,gharam anukkamma patipātivā caranto, SA 1:205), going to every house, whether rich or poor, without a break (SnA 1:118; ApA 193; NcA 144); cf MA 3:240. M:H wrongly equates this with Sekh 33: sa,padānam pindapātam bhuñjissāmî ti sikkhā karanīyā, "I shall eat almsfood uninterruptedly: this should be my training." While in sa,padāna,cārī, the adv sapadāna refers to the manner of going from house to house, here in the Vinaya rule, it simply refers to the manner of eating.

¹²⁴ Kiñcāpi so hoti āraññiko panta,sen'āsano piṇḍa,pātiko sa,padāna,cārī paṁsu,kūliko lūkha,cīvara,dharo. These practices seem to form an early list, which later was standardized to a list of 13 strict ascetic practices (dhutanga): see Bakkula S (M 124/3:124-128) & SD 3.15 (2). Similarly here (as in the case of the first), I treat painsu, kūliko lūkha.cīvara.dharo as a single phrase; see Intro (1.1.5). On the coarse robes, Comy says that they may become "coarse" (lūkha) in any of 3 ways: by being cut with a knife, being sewn with a thick long thread, or being stained by dust (MA 1:149).

¹²⁵ Ambho, kim ev'idam harīyati jañña, jaññam viyâ ti?

As soon as they see, they were struck with displeasure, with disgust, with loathing, so that even the hungry have no wish to eat, not to speak of those who have eaten their fill!¹²⁷

29c Even so, avuso, in whatever monk these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard not to have been abandoned by him,

even if he were a forest-dweller, one who resorts to a remote dwelling, one who lives on almsfood, one who fares from house-to-house on almsround, one who wears dust-heap robes, one who wears coarse robes.

fellow brahmacharis would still not honour, respect, [31] esteem, worship him.

What is the reason for this?

It is because these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes of the venerable one are seen and heard not to have been abandoned by him.

True recluse

30a In whatever monk, avuso, these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard to have been abandoned by him,

even if he were one who dwells just outside a village, one who accepts invitations, one who dons robes given by householders, 128

fellow brahmacharis would still honour, respect, esteem, worship him.

What is the reason for this?

It is because these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard to have been abandoned by him.

30b THE CLEAN BOWL CONTAINING A FINE FOOD. Suppose, avuso, a bronze bowl brought back from a shop or the smithy is pure and clean,

and the owners were to put sali rice, ¹²⁹ free of black specks, with various curries, various sauces ¹³⁰ in it, and covering it with another bronze bowl, and were to take it to the middle of a market. ¹³¹

Then people, seeing it, would say:

'Hey, what is this you are carrying about that's like a very fine thing?

Having raised (the lid) and opening it, so that they would look into it.

As soon as they see, they were struck with pleasure, with non-disgust, with no-loathing, so that even those who have eaten their fill would wish to eat it, not to speak of the hungry! 132

¹²⁶ Tam enam uṭṭhahitvā apāpuritvā olokeyya. Uṭṭhahitvā, "raising up (the lid)" (V 4:20,4; M 3:183,30; J 4:244,27; Thī 410, 436; Miln 304,40; Vism 40,4; UA 412,19), abs of uṭṭiṭṭhati, uṭṭhahati, uṭṭhāti, uṭṭhati, uṭṭ

127 Tassa saha,dassanena amanāpatā ca santhaheyya, pāṭikulyatā ca santhaheyya, jegucchatā ca santhaheyya; jighacchitānam pi na bhottu,kamyatā assa, pag'eva suhitānam. Here saha has the sense of "at the same time, as soon as." Santhaheyya (V 2:11; S 5:321), pot of santiṭṭhati, "(of the mind, citta) to stick to, to be fixed or fixated, to be established (in), to be settled) (V 1:9, 15; D 2:206, 3:239; S 5:321; It 43); but here in a negative sense.

¹²⁸ Kiñcāpi so hoti gāmanta, vihārī nemantaniko gahapati, cīvara, dharo, atha kho naṁ sa, brahmacārī sakkaronti garum karonti mānenti pūjenti. Compared with the strict ascetic practices listed in §29a, these here are clearly less ascetic and much milder, but allowed by the Buddha as "extras" (atireka): see Intro (1.1.5).

¹²⁹ Rice as *vīhi* (Skt *vrīhi*; Tamil *arise*) (Oryza sativa), or broadcast rice, had its origins in India around 3000 BCE and was certainly known to later Vedic people. It was a rainy season crop ripening in autumn but whose yield was limited. This form of rice when cooked is called *odana* (ts), ie boiled rice. The change came when the people learned and used the art of <u>paddy transplantation</u> or wet paddy production, which was grown as a winter crop. This better quality rice was known as "shali" (P *sāli*; Skt *śāli*) (RS Sharma, *Material Culture and Social Formations in Ancient India*, 1983:96, 161f). See Piya Tan, *The Buddha and His Disciples*, 2004 §4b.

¹³⁰ For a longer list of such foods, which become obstacles to the brahmins, see **Ambattha S** (D 3.2.10a/1:105) = SD 21.3:

¹³¹ Tam enam sāmikā sālīnam odanam vicita,kāļakam aneka,sūpam aneka,vyañjanam racayitvā aññissā kamsa,-pātiyā paṭikujjitvā antar'āpaṇam paṭipajjeyyum: as at **M 5**.30b/1:31 = 7.12/1:38×2; cf D 3.2.10/1:105; M 77/2:8.

30c Even so, avuso, in whatever monk these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes are seen and heard to have been abandoned by him,

even if he were

one who dwells just outside a village,

one who accepts invitations,

one who dons robes donated by householders, 133

fellow brahmacharis would still honour, respect, esteem, worship him.

What is the reason for this?

It is because these spheres of evil unwholesome wishes of the venerable one are seen and heard to have been abandoned by him."

Moggallāna's parable

31a When this was said, the venerable Mahā Moggallāna said this to the venerable Sāriputta:

"A parable, avuso Sāriputta, is evident¹³⁴ to me!" ¹³⁵

"Let it be evident, avuso Moggallāna!" 136

31b SAMĪTI AND PAŅDU, PUTTA. "At time time, avuso, I was staying on Giribbaja¹³⁷ in Rāja, gaha. Then, avuso, when it was morning, having dressed and taking robe and bowl, I entered Rāja, gaha for alms.

Now, at that time, Samīti, the wheelwright's son, was working away¹³⁸ at a cart's felloe [rim]¹³⁹ in the presence¹⁴⁰ of the ajivaka¹⁴¹ Paṇḍu,putta, the former wheelwright's son.

¹³² Tassa saha dassanena manāpatā ca saṇṭhaheyya, appāṭikulyatā ca saṇṭhaheyya, ajegucchatā ca saṇṭhaheyya, suhitānam pi bhottu,kamyatā assa, pag'eva jighacchitānam.

¹³³ Kiñcāpi so hoti gāmanta, vihārī nemantaniko gahapati, cīvara, dharo, atha kho naṁ sa, brahmacārī sakkaronti garuṁ karonti mānenti pūjenti. The three key terms here are uncommon, clearly late but canonical, found only in a few suttas and the Vinaya. *Gamanta, vihārī* occurs only in Anaṅgaṇa S (M 5.30/1:31×2), Goliyāni S (M 69.20/-1:473×2; Nāgita S (A 6.42/3:341, 343×2, 344), Dāru, kammika S (A 6.59/3:391×2), Yasa S (A 8.86/4:343×2, 344 ×2); also see MA 1:150, 151; AA 3:367. *Nemantanika* occurs only in Anaṅgaṇa S (M 5.30/1:31×2), Dāru, kammika S (A 6.59/3:392×2); see also VA 4:815; MA 1:150; AA 3:400. *Gahapati, cīvara, dhara* is found only at V 3:169 ×3; Anaṅgaṇa S (M 5.30/1:31×2), Dāru, kammika S (A 6.59/3:392×2). These 3 practices are "extraneous" (*atire-ka*) to the traditional practices and as such optional: see Intro (1.1.5).

¹³⁴ Paţibhāti, which Comy glosses as "presents (itself), is present, appears" (upaţthāti), meaning, "You speak!" (vada tvan ti adhippāyo) (MA 1:151).

¹³⁵ Upamā mam, āvuso sāriputta, paṭibhātî ti, alt, "Avuso, I would like to present a parable."

¹³⁶ Paţibhātu tam, āvuso moggallānâ ti.

Giri-b,baja ("cow-pen hill," so called because it was surrounded or protected, like a cow-pen, by 5 hills, VvA 52; see M:H 1:39 n3; PED sv): Tha 1097; V 1:43; M 1:31; S 2:185; MA 1:151,10; SA 2:159,2 f; UA 265). Rāja,-gaha, the capital of Magadha, was apparently two distinct towns: the older one, an ancient hill fortress, properly called Giri-b,baja, said to have been built by Mahā Govinda, a skilled architect (VvA 82; cf D 2:235, where he is said to have founded 7 cities). The later town, at the foot of the hills, was built by Bimbi,sāra.

[&]quot;Was working away (at)," *tacchati*, also *taccheti*, a word with pregnant senses: "cuts, splits, planes, pares, chisels; forms, fashions; reduces, makes thin" (M 1:31,31 f, 3:166,26 \neq Nm 404,11; J 1:211,2, 247,12, 6:348,14; Nm 114,25 \neq Miln 383,3*, 413,11). See DP sv.

¹³⁹ Tena kho pana samayena samīti yāna,kāra,putto rathassa nemim tacchati.

¹⁴⁰ "In the presence of," *paccupatthito*, pp of *paccupatthahati*, "(re)presented, offered, at one's disposal, imminent, ready, present" (PED) (D 3:218; It 95, 111; Sn p105; Kvu 157, 280; Miln 123).

¹⁴¹ An ajivika (ājīvaka or ājīvika) is a member a sect of naked recluses (nagga,samaṇa) (MA 1:151), founded by Makkhali Gosāla: see **Apaṇṇaka S**, M 60.21-28/1:407-411 & SD 35.3 (2.2.3). He advocated the doctrine of nonconditionality (ahetuka,vāda), a form of fatalism, and of samsaric purification (samsāra,suddhi, D 2.21/1:54). Their name, given by their opponents, was derived from ājīva, meaning "livelihood appropriate to one's class," suggesting that they resorted to their faith as a livelihood. not fo salvation. See V 1:8; M 1:483; Sn 381; J 2:384, 6:225; Ap 358; DhA 2:55; UA 340. See A L Basham, History and Doctrines of the Ājīvikas, London, 1951.

Then, avuso, this thought occurred to the ajivika Paṇḍu,putta, the former wheelwright's son:

'Oh that this Samīti, the wheelwright's son, would work away this bend, and this crookedness, and this fault, from the fellow. 142

so that this felloe, with the bend removed, the crookedness removed, the fault removed, would be presented as pure heartwood!' [32]

Indeed, just as the thought came to the ajivaka Paṇḍu,putta, the former wheelwright's son's mind, so did Samīti, the wheelwright's son, work on the felloe, work away the bend, work away the crookedness, work away the fault, from the felloe. 144

Then, avuso, the ajivika Paṇḍu,putta, the former wheelwright's son, glad at heart expressed his gladness:

'He works it out. I must say, knowing the heart with the heart!' 145

The false renunciants

32a ¹⁴⁶Even so, avuso, there are people who are without faith, ¹⁴⁷ those who have left home for the homeless life, not out of faith, but for the sake of a living, ¹⁴⁸ who are false, crafty, fraudulent, ¹⁴⁹ haughty. ¹⁵⁰ insolent, fickle, garrulous, of loose speech.

their sense-doors unguarded, lacking moderation in food, not devoted to wakefulness, with no regard for recluseship, with no deep respect for the training, living in abundance, lax, prone to distractions, ¹⁵¹ neglecting the task of seclusion, lazy, lacking effort, confused, lacking full awareness, unconcentrated, a wandering mind, lacking wisdom, unintelligent.

32b Venerable Sāriputta works at them with this Dharma exposition, I must say, works all these out, having understood my own heart with his heart!¹⁵²

¹⁴² Aho vatâyam samīti yāna,kāra,putto imissā nemiyā imañ ca vankam imañ ca jimham imañ ca dosam tacchey-ya.

¹⁴³ Evâyam nemi apagata,vankā apagata,jimhā apagata,dosā suddhā assa sāre patiṭṭhitâ ti.

¹⁴⁴ Yathā yathā kho, āvuso, paṇḍu,puttassa ājīvakassa purāṇa,yāna,kāra,puttassa cetaso parivitakko hoti tathā tathā samīti yāṇa,kāra,putto tassā nemiyā tañca vaṅkaṁ tañca jimhaṁ tañca dosaṁ tacchati.

¹⁴⁵ Hadayā hadayam maññe aññāya tacchatî ti, meaning that Samīti acts in response to exactly what Paṇḍu,putta thinks. Although it is possible that here Paṇḍu,putta might think that he has the power of controlling Samīti's mind, the truth is that Moggallāna is simply using this event as a figure to show how Sāriputta has spoken his (Moggallāna's) mind.

¹⁴⁶ This whole para is stock: Evam eva kho āvuso ye te puggalā assaddhā, jīvik'atthā na saddhā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajitā, saṭhā māyāvino ketabhino [Ee; Be ketabino; Ce Se keṭubhino] uddhatā unnaļā capalā mukharā vikiṇṇa,vācā indriyesu agutta,dvārā, bhojane amattaññuno, jāgariyam ananuyuttā, sāmaññe anapekkhavanto, sikkhāya na tibba,gāravā, bāhulikā, sāthalikā, okkamane pubbaṅgamā, paviveke nikkhitta,dhurā, kusītā hīna,vīriyā muṭṭhas,satī asampajānā asamāhitā vibbhanta,cittā duppaññā eļa,mūgā. For def of key words, see Intro [2.1].

¹⁴⁷ Ie bereft of faith in the Buddha, the Dharma and the Sangha (*Assaddhā'ti buddha,dhamma,saṅghesu saddhā,-virahitā*, MA 1:152)

¹⁴⁸ Ie earning a living here because he is unable to earn a living outside, on account of being oppressed by the fear of debts, etc (*jīvik'atthā'ti iṇa,bhay'ādīhi pīļitā bahi jīvitum asakkontā idha jīvik'atthikā hutvā*, MA 1:152).

¹⁴⁹ Fraudulent, ie a trained fraudster, whose craftiness is of accomplished strength. It is called "deceit" because it is the craftiness of seeing non-existent virtues, of making objects show qualities that are absent of (*ketabhino*'ti sikkhita,kerāṭikā, nipphanna,thāma,gata,sāṭheyyâ ti vuttam hoti. Sāṭheyyañ hi abhūta,guṇa,dassanato abhūta,bhaṇ-da,guṇa,dassana,samam katvā "kerāṭiyan ti vuccati, MA 1:152)

¹⁵⁰ This line (see foll n), as at **Jantu S** (S 2.25/1:61,4), but replaces the last quality with $p\bar{a}kat'indriy\bar{a}$, "loose in faculties." See Intro [2.1].

 $^{^{151}}$ *Okkamane pubbaṅgamā*, ie "letting transgressions be the leader." Comy explains these as the conditions for "going down," ie going astray or transgressing, ie, the 5 mental hindrances (MA 1:101.26, 3:108,3). See M 1:14, 16 f = 3:5,15 = A 1:71,3 = 2:148,30 = 3:108,3;M 1:32,21; A 1:243,3 f. M:ÑB, foll M:H, has "leaders in backsliding," which fails to reflect Comy which is helpful here. See CPD: 2 o-kkamana.

¹⁵² Tesam āyasmā sāriputto iminā dhamma,pariyāyena hadayā hadayam maññe aññāya tacchati.

The true renunciants

32c However, there are sons of family who have left home for the homeless life <u>out of faith</u>, who are not false, not crafty, not fraudulent,

not haughty, not insolent, not fickle, not garrulous, not loose in speech,

with sense-doors restrained, moderate in food, devoted to wakefulness, intent on recluseship, has deep respect for the training, not living in abundance, not lax, bent on removing distractions, giving priority to seclusion, exerting effort, resolute, of stable mindfulness, fully aware, concentrated, one-pointed in mind, wise, not unintelligent.¹⁵³

Moggallāna rejoices in Sāriputta's teaching

32d SĀRIPUTTA IS LIKE A FEAST. Those who have heard this Dharma exposition of the venerable Sāriputta, as it were, have drunk, as it were, have feasted by way of words and by way of thoughts! 154

Good indeed it is, sir, that he, having caused fellow brahmacharis to rise out ¹⁵⁵ of the unwholesome, he establishes them in the wholesome! ¹⁵⁶

33a PARABLE OF THE WELL-DRESSED PERSON. Suppose, avuso, a woman or a man, young, youthful, fond of ornaments, with head washed, having obtained a lotus garland, or a jasmine garland, or a madhav lata garland, taking it with both hands, would place it on the crown of the head. 157

even so, avuso, these sons of family who have left home for the homeless life <u>out of faith</u>, who are not false, not crafty, not fraudulent, not haughty, not insolent, not fickle, not garrulous, not loose in speech,

with sense-doors restrained, moderate in food, devoted to wakefulness, intent on recluseship, has deep respect for the training, not living in abundance, not lax, bent on removing distractions, giving priority to seclusion, exerting effort, resolute, of stable mindfulness, fully aware, concentrated, one-pointed in mind, wise, not unintelligent.

Conclusion

33b Those who have heard this Dharma exposition of the venerable Sāriputta, as it were, have drunk, as it were, have feasted by way of words and by way of thoughts!

Good indeed it is, sir, that he, having uplifted fellow brahmacharis [fellows in the holy life], he establishes them in the wholesome!"

¹⁵³ Indriyesu guttadvārā, bhojane mattaññuno, jāgariyam anuyuttā, sāmaññe apekkhavanto, sikkhāya tibba,gāra-vā, na bāhulikā na sāthalikā, okkamane nikkhitta,dhurā, paviveke pubbangamā, āraddha,vīriyā, pahit'attā upaṭṭhi-ta-s,satī sampajānā samāhitā ek'agga,cittā paññavanto aneḷa,mūgā. For nn & refs, see §32a nn ad loc.

¹⁵⁴ Te āyasmato sāriputtassa imam dhamma,pariyāyam sutvā pivanti maññe, ghasanti maññe vacasā c'eva mana-sā ca.

^{155 &}quot;Having caused...to rise out (of)," *vuṭṭhāpetvā*, alt "having caused to turn away from," ie to rouse out of (abl), to turn away from (A 3:115); caus of *vuṭṭhāhati* and *vuṭṭhāti*, "to rise out of (abl), to emerge from, to come back" (S 4:294). Here, it is a non-technical sutta term. In the Vinaya, *vuṭṭhāpeti* has the sense of "to ordain, rehabilitate (V 4:226, 317 f = *upasampādeti*, "to ordain").

¹⁵⁶ Sādhu vata bho sa,brahmacārī akusalā vuṭṭhāpetvā kusale patiṭṭhāpetî ti.

¹⁵⁷ Seyyathā'pi, āvuso, itthī vā puriso vā daharo yuvā maṇḍanaka,jātiko sīsam,nhāto uppala,mālam vā vassika,-mālam vā atimuttaka,mālam vā labhitvā ubhohi hatthehi paṭiggahetvā uttam'ange sirasmim patiṭṭhapeyya. This is stock: Anaṅgaṇa S (M 5.33/1:32); Gotamī S (A 9.51/4:378); V 2:255. Elsewhere, this figure is used in terms of mindfulness and meditation. Cf Mahā Sakul'udāyi S (M 2:19); Ānanda S (S 22.83/3:105); (Satthā) Sacitta S (A 10.51/5:92); Sāriputta S (A 10.52/5:94); Samatha S (A 10.54/5:98); Parihāna S (A 10.55/5:103). For a neg application of a similar parable: Vitakka Saṇṭhāna S (M 1:119); Sīha,nāda S (A 9.11/4:376); V 3:68, 69. Flowers: Uppala (Skt utpala), Nymphaea caerulea, the blue lotus, or any lotus. Vassika (Skt varṣikā) is Jasminum sambac, the jasmine, the most fragrant of flowers. Atimuttaka (Skt atimuktaka; cf atimukta) is prob the Hiptage madablota, Gaertn or "madhav lata" (the madhav creeper): other identifications are Dalbergia ujjenensis (CPD); Ougeinia oojeinensis (DP); Gaertnera racemosa (PED), which DP gives for atimutta.

34 Thus indeed in this manner, these two great nagas¹⁵⁸ mutually rejoice in one another's well spoken words. 159

— evam —

111104; 111111; 111125; 111215

¹⁵⁸ *Nāga*, mythically, ref to a class of dragon-like beings habiting deep below the earth, and are believed to be the guardian of treasure and knowledge. The term is commonly used in early Buddhist texts to refer serpents, esp those of great strength and powers (D 1:54; S 3:240 f, 5:47, 63; B 1:30; J 1:64; DhA 2:4, 3:231, 242 f; PvA 272), and it often refers to the cobra, the most venomous and revered of Indian snakes (cf "serpent king," *nāga,rāja,* Sn 379 & SnA 368; DhA 1:359, 2:231, 242 f, 4:129 f; J 2:111, 3:275). It also refers to a noble tusker (D 2:266; M 1:415; A 2:116; Dh 329; Thī 49; J 4:395, 5:259, 6:61; Ap 53). Fig, *nāga* means "hero, saint," symbolizing great spiritual strength and endurance. A popular etym of the naga's excellence is that "he does no evil" (*āgun na karoti*) (**Nāga S**, A 6.43/3:346; **Sabhiya S**, Sn 522; Tha 693), ie, he is faultless. In this sense, *nāga* is often used as an epithet of the Buddha and the arhat: see Dh ch 23 (**Nāga Vagga**). See further MA 1:153; SA 1:77; ThaA 3:8-11; SnA 2:410, 428; Nm 201 = Nc 337; Tha 693; PvA 57. See Tha:N 177 n289 for details.

¹⁵⁹ Itiha te ubho mahānāgā aññam-aññassa subhāsitam samanumodimsu.